LING 331 Text Processing for Linguists

## Week 9

Python for Text (and Beyond)

## Roadmap for Our Last Two Days

Wednesday 3/2

- Assignment 6 FYIs
- Content:
  - Dependency Parsing WordNet Word Vectors
- Final Assignment

Monday 3/7

- Assignment 6 Notes
- Content: Classification
- Final Self-Evaluation
- Where To Go From Here

- -PRON- is a spaCy idiosyncrasy
  - Some weird version issues though...
- Stemming vs. Lemmatization
  - Stemmers are a much more coarse heuristic algorithm
  - Lemmatizers are machine learning models
    = more computationally expensive, but not crazily so

- Sometimes you get a nice docstring, comments, etc sometimes you don't!
- Figuring out types of objects:
  - o type(obj), dir(obj), help(obj), print(obj)
- If you're running into trouble this is the first thing to try!
- With dicts, useful also to print (d.keys())
- E.g. sentences in 2.g., what's in a row in 5.a.

• Nested dictionaries: dicts are key-value, but value can be anything, including another dict

```
intensity = {}
```

- ... # do some stuff
- if not word in intensity:
  intensity[word] = {}
- ... # do some stuff
- intensity[word][emotion] = score

- left\_adjectives: This is another common sort of programming meme, requires a sort of "spatial orientation" / "navigation" skill
- enumerate to maintain an index,
  when current word matches, check index 1
- Working with dependency trees is a yet-trickier version of this meme!

## Dependency Parsing gives a syntax representation

- Words are connected to other words with a tag representing their relationship
- Main verb is the sentence root
- Directed: head  $\rightarrow$  dependent
- Tag is role the played by the dependent



https://spacy.io/usage/visualizers

https://explosion.ai/demos/displacy

## Dependency Parsing gives a syntax representation

- Most common formalism for syntax in Comp Ling / NLP
  - Interesting contrast with formal syntax!
- Partially because of computational feasibility
- Very exciting project: Universal Dependencies
  - <u>https://universaldependencies.org/</u>
  - (you can contribute!)

## Dependency Parsing gives a syntax representation

- spaCy does dependency parsing inherently (if you don't disable "parser")
- Access dependency tag with token.dep\_ List of children with token.children
- More info:

https://spacy.io/usage/linguistic-features

## WordNet is a lexical resource for semantic relations

- Represents semantic relationships in a large network
- Allows to calculate e.g. "path similarity"

• Play with directly:

http://wordnetweb.princeton.edu/perl/webwn



## WordNet is a lexical resource for semantic relations

- NLTK has an interface for working with WordNet
- ... but it's not the most intuitive thing in the world
- More info here:

https://www.nltk.org/howto/wordnet.html

## Sparsity is a property of natural language

- Language is creative, flexible, and ever-evolving; there are many ways to say the "same thing"
- Translations for instance! But even within a language. Q: Where is he?

He went to the store Oh, Johnny left to get groceries Out to grab the essentials

## Sparsity is a property of natural language

• Zipf's Law:

5

If you order words by frequency rank, e.g.

1 the 2 and C 3 I 4 to

of

Counts will be inversely proportional to rank! So 'the' will appear roughly 2x as often as 'and', which will appear 2x as often as 'I', etc



## Sparsity is a property of natural language

Closed-Class Words of, she, or, the, no, and

- a.k.a. 'function words'
- Includes pronouns, articles, conjunctions, particles
- Rarely gain new members
- Very dense!
- Perform grammatical and discourse functions

**Open-Class Words** 

walrus, fleek, margarine, poindexter

- a.k.a. 'content words'
- Includes nouns, verbs, adjectives, etc.
- Frequently gain new members
- Very sparse!
- Perform semantic functions,
  i.e. carry most of the meaning

## Sparsity is a problem for computing with language

'cat' != 'cat,' != 'CAT' != 'Cat' != 'cats'

We've seen some ways to deal with this:

- Stripping punctuation
- Downcasing
- Tokenization
- Stemming
- Lemmatization

- ... and more abstractly:
- POS tagging
- Lexicons

(concreteness, emotion)

• Syntactic roles and relations

## Sparsity is a problem for computing with language

But what if we want a different semantic operation than a pure exact match?

For instance, how can we know if words are more or less similar?

Answer: create a numerical representation that can be operated on mathematically - word vectors!

- Key mathematical notes:
  - A vector is simply a list of numbers
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Those numbers form an abstract representation of a word  $\,$
  - Each "dimension" refers to the number at a certain index
  - Dimensions can be meaningful or not depending on how the vectors are constructed

word	cuteness	furriness	animacy	growth_stage

word	cuteness	furriness	animacy	growth_stage	
cat	5	7	7	6	

word	cuteness	furriness	animacy	growth_stage	
cat	5	7	7	6	
kitten	8	8	5	-4	

word	cuteness	furriness	animacy	growth_stage	
cat	5	7	7	6	
kitten	8	8	5	-4	
lizard	-3	-8	4	0	

word	cuteness	furriness	animacy	growth_stage		
cat	5	7	7	6		
kitten	8	8	5	-4		
lizard	-3	-8	4	0		
houseplant	2	-4	2	2		

word	cuteness	furriness	animacy	y growth_stage		
cat	5	7	7	6		
kitten	8	8	5	-4		
lizard	-3	-8	4	0		
houseplant	2	-4	2	2		
teddy_bear	6	6	-10	0		

- But this would be unthinkably time-consuming and arbitrary
- Solution: the **distributional hypothesis** "You shall know a word by the company it keeps." -Firth 1957
- Intuitively:
  - "Cat" occurs near "furry", "claws", "cute", "feline" in everyday speech, so does "kitten", so they are similar.

- So, use word vectors generated from co-occurrence statistics
- Methods described in more detail in SLP Ch. 6
  - Raw co-occurrence counts, TF-IDF, PPMI

_	aardvark	 computer	data	result	pie	sugar	
cherry	0	 2	8	9	442	25	
strawberry	0	 0	0	1	60	19	
digital	0	 1670	1683	85	5	4	
information	0	 3325	3982	378	5	13	

Figure 6.5 Co-occurrence vectors for four words in the Wikipedia corpus, showing six of the dimensions (hand-picked for pedagogical purposes). The vector for *digital* is outlined in red. Note that a real vector would have vastly more dimensions and thus be much sparser.

Jurafsky and Martin Ch.6



**Figure 6.7** A (rough) graphical demonstration of cosine similarity, showing vectors for three words (*cherry*, *digital*, and *information*) in the two dimensional space defined by counts of the words *computer* and *pie* nearby. Note that the angle between *digital* and *information* is smaller than the angle between *cherry* and *information*.

- These are still relatively sparse; most words don't co-occur with most other words, matrix is full of many zeroes
- Solution: Machine learning approach (e.g. word2vec)
- Generates compressed vectors of dimension ~500
  - Pro: learn dense vectors implicitly from natural language!
    Con: dimensions become much less interpretable!

### Word Vectors == Word embeddings

- "Embeddings" are the same as vectors
- Representation is "embedded" in a shared
  - "vector space" with other representations



**Figure 6.1** A two-dimensional (t-SNE) projection of embeddings for some words and phrases, showing that words with similar meanings are nearby in space. The original 60-dimensional embeddings were trained for sentiment analysis. Simplified from Li et al. (2015).

(i.e. they have comparable dimensions)

Jurafsky and Martin Ch.6

### Final Assignment

- More and more on your own! Get creative!
- Key point!
  - If you want to use LDC or BYU data, let me know by Wednesday
- Please turn in on time! March 15th, where you're at
  - For grading purposes, but I'm always available to talk more later if you keep working on it!