## NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY <br> Department of Economics

# DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF UNITED STATES TRANSPORTATION 

## Part 1 - Freight Mode Split

Table 1.1: Domestic Inter-City Mode Split (\%) - 2004

|  | By Ton-Miles $^{1}$ | By Expenditure $^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Railroad | 37 | 15 |
| Truck | 28 | 68 |
| Pipeline | 21 | 10 |
| Water | 14 | 3 |
| Air | 0.4 | 4 |

Expenditures equivalent to $2.8 \%$ of Gross Domestic Product
${ }^{1}$ One ton-mile is a ton of freight moved for one mile, so one ton moved 100 miles is 100 ton-miles
${ }^{2}$ Excludes local and private trucking and warehousing
Source: Transportation in America, Eno Transportation Foundation, Washington, D.C.
Table 1.2: Historical Domestic Mode Split (\%) by Ton-miles

|  | 1950 | 2004 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Railroad | 47 | 37 |
| Water | 29 | 14 |
| Truck | 14 | 28 |
| Pipeline | 10 | 21 |
| Air | 0 | 0.4 |
| Index of Ton-miles | 100 | 356 |

Source: Transportation in America, Eno Transportation Foundation, Washington, D.C.
Table 1.3: Mode Split (\%) By Ton-Miles - International Comparisons - 2007

|  | Truck | Railroad | Water | Pipeline |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great Britain | 85 | 10 | 0 | 5 |
| Spain/Portugal | 81 | 4 | 13 | 2 |
| France | 75 | 15 | 3 | 7 |
| Italy | 70 | 9 | 17 | 4 |
| Hungary | 70 | 20 | 4 | 6 |
| Poland | 66 | 24 | 0 | 10 |
| Belgium/Lux./Netherlands | 65 | 8 | 26 | 1 |
| Germany | 64 | 21 | 12 | 3 |
| Japan | 60 | 4 | 36 | 0 |
| Scandinavia | 57 | 20 | 18 | 5 |
| United States | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| Russia | 6 | 59 | 3 | 32 |

Sources: Transport Statistics Great Britain, UK Department of Transport, London; OECD in Figures, Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris

## Part 2 - Passenger Mode Split

Table 2.1: Domestic Passenger Mode Split (\%) - $2004^{1}$

|  | By Passenger-Miles | By Trips | By Expenditure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inter-city journeys | Journey to Work | Excluding Subsidies |
| Automobile | 72.3 | 86.2 | 89.7 |
| Air | 24.8 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
| Inter-City Bus | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Urban Transit | - | 5.9 | 0.9 |
| Walk | - | 2.8 | - |
| Railroad | 0.3 | - | 0.1 |
| Bicycle/Motorcycle | - | 0.7 | - |
| Taxi | - | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Water | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Work at Home | - | 4.1 | - |

Expenditures equivalent to $9.6 \%$ Gross Domestic Product
${ }^{1}$ Excludes school and charter buses
Sources: Expenditure, Inter-city passenger-miles: Transportation in America, Eno Transportation Foundation, Washington, D.C.; Journey to work: American Community Survey, US Census Bureau (2008 data)

Table 2.2: Historical Domestic Inter-City Mode Split (\%) by Passenger-Miles

|  | 1950 | 2004 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Automobile | 88 | 72 |
| Air | 2 | 25 |
| Inter-City Bus | 5 | 2.7 |
| Railroad | 5 | 0.3 |
| Index of Passenger-miles | 100 | 455 |

Source: Transportation in America, Eno Transportation Foundation, Washington, D.C.
Table 2.3: Mode Split (\%) By Passenger-Miles ${ }^{1}$ - International Comparisons - 2007

|  | Auto/Taxi | Bus | Rail | Air |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great Britain | 86 | 6 | 6 | 1 |
| United States | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Germany | 85 | 6 | 8 | 1 |
| France | 85 | 6 | 8 | 1 |
| Poland | 84 | 10 | 7 | 0 |
| Belgium/Lux./Netherlands | 82 | 10 | 8 | 0 |
| Scandinavia | 82 | 8 | 7 | 2 |
| Italy | 82 | 12 | 6 | 1 |
| Spain/Portugal | 77 | 13 | 5 | 5 |
| Hungary | 61 | 26 | 13 | 0 |
| Japan | 57 | 7 | 31 | 5 |

[^0]
## Part 3 - Railroads

Table 3.1: Freight Traffic Components (\%) - 2011

|  | By Tons | By Revenue |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Coal | 43 | 25 |
| Food \& Farm Products | 14 | 16 |
| Chemicals \& Oil / Oil Products | 13 | 17 |
| Minerals \& Ores | 13 | 7 |
| Manufactured Goods \& Equipment | 13 | 28 |
| Forest Products | 3 | 5 |

Source: Railroad Facts, Association of American Railroads, Washington D.C.

Table 3.2: Market Share, Traffic Density, Traffic Value and Profit Margin - 2011

|  | Market Share <br> of Ton-Miles <br> (\%) | Traffic <br> Density $^{2}$ <br> $\left(\right.$ millions) $^{2}$ | Revenue per <br> Ton-Mile <br> $(\$)$ | Operating <br> Profit Margin <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BNSF | 33 | 20.1 | 3.0 | 36 |
| Union Pacific | 28 | 17.1 | 3.6 | 39 |
| CSX Transportation | 12 | 10.9 | 5.1 | 34 |
| Norfolk Southern | 10 | 9.5 | 5.8 | 36 |
| Canadian National | 10 | 9.4 | 4.9 | 58 |
| Canadian Pacific | 7 | 8.8 | 4.1 | 23 |
| Kansas City Southern | 2 | 9.9 | 3.8 | 34 |

${ }^{1}$ Market share is of combined United States and Canada market for large (class 1) railroads
${ }^{2}$ Traffic Density is calculated by dividing ton-miles by route-miles. It indicates the average amount of usage the tracks of a railroad receive in a year
Source: Railroad Facts, Association of American Railroads, Washington D.C.

Part 4 - Water Transportation
Table 4.1: Geographic Share (\%) - 2010

|  | By Tonnage | By Expenditure (2004) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| International: Imports | 39 | 56 |
| International: Exports | 25 | 31 |
| Domestic: Rivers \& Canals | 25 | 8 |
| Domestic: Coastal | 7 | 5 |
| Domestic: Great Lakes | 4 | 1 |

Sources: Tonnage: Waterborne Commerce of the United States, US Army Corps of Engineers; Expenditures: Transportation in America, Eno Transportation Foundation, Washington, D.C.

Table 4.2: Major American Ports - 2010

|  | Market Share \% <br> by Tonnage | Proportion of Traffic <br> Foreign Trade (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Galveston / Houston, TX | 12.8 | 70 |
| South Louisiana Offshore Oil Port | 10.1 | 49 |
| New York, NY/NJ | 6.0 | 60 |
| Los Angeles / Long Beach, CA | 5.9 | 86 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 4.8 | 36 |
| Port Arthur / Beaumont, TX | 4.6 | 66 |
| Philadelphia, PA/NJ | 3.6 | 61 |
| Corpus Christi Bay, TX | 3.2 | 74 |
| New Orleans, LA | 3.1 | 47 |
| Norfolk / Newport News, VA | 2.8 | 82 |
| Huntington, WV/KY/OH | 2.7 | 0 |
| Duluth \& North Shore, WI/MN | 2.4 | 18 |
| Mobile, AL | 2.4 | 37 |
| Lake Charles, LA | 2.3 | 60 |
| Columbia River Estuary, OR/WA | 2.3 | 78 |
| Puget Sound, WA | 2.2 | 78 |
| Port of Chicago, IL/IN | 2.1 | 9 |
| San Francisco Bay, CA | 1.9 | 69 |
| Pascagoula, MS | 1.8 | 67 |
| Sorr WS |  |  |

Source: Waterborne Commerce of the United States, US Army Corps of Engineers
Table 4.3: Traffic Split by Commodity (\%) By Tonnage - 2010

|  | Foreign | Domestic |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crude Oil \& Products | 49 | 37 |  |  |
| Coal | 7 | 25 |  |  |
| Minerals \& Ores | 10 | 17 |  |  |
| Food \& Farm Products | 15 | 9 |  |  |
| Chemicals | 5 | 7 |  |  |
| Manufactured Goods | 6 | 3 |  |  |
| Manufactured Equipment | Source: Waterborne Commerce of the United States, US Army Corps of Engineers |  |  |  |

## Part 5 - Airlines (US Flag Carriers Only)

Table 5.1: Index of Domestic Airline Traffic with 1950 =100 (and \% Change in Decade)

|  | Passenger-miles |  | Cargo (Ton-miles) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| 1960 | 341 | $241 \%$ | 297 | $197 \%$ |
| 1970 | 1177 | $245 \%$ | 1100 | $270 \%$ |
| 1980 | 2198 | $87 \%$ | 1613 | $47 \%$ |
| 1990 | 3719 | $69 \%$ | 3473 | $115 \%$ |
| 2000 | 5550 | $49 \%$ | 5270 | $52 \%$ |
| 2010 | 5975 | $11 \%$ | 4180 | $-21 \%$ |

Source: Transportation in America, Eno Transportation Foundation, Washington, D.C.; Bureau of Transportation Statistics, US Department of Transportation, Washington D.C. (US DOT Form 41 data)

Table 5.2: Market Share, Cost, and Operating Profit Margin - 2012

|  | Market Share of <br> Passenger-miles $^{1}(\%)$ | Cost per Available <br> Seat-Mile $^{2}(\mathbf{\Phi})$ | Operating Profit <br> Margin (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed merged <br> American Airlines | 21 | 16.8 | +2 |
| Original American | 13 | 16.2 | 0 |
| US Airways | 8 | 17.9 | +6 |
| Southwest / AirTran | 18 | 12.3 | +8 |
| United Airlines | 17 | 17.2 | 0 |
| Delta Air Lines | 16 | 17.1 | +7 |
| JetBlue Airways | 5 | 11.5 | +8 |
| Alaska Airlines | 4 | 14.5 | +14 |
| Hawaiian Airlines | 2 | 12.5 | +7 |
| Frontier Airlines | 2 | 11.8 | +2 |
| Other Airlines |  |  |  |
| 1 Market share based on domestic operations <br> 2 An available seat-mile is one seat on an aircraft flown one mile irrespective of whether the seat is <br> occupied or not. Therefore flying a 100--seat aircraft for 100 miles produces 10,000 available seat miles <br> Source: Bureau of Transportation Statistics, US Department of Transportation, Washington D.C. |  |  |  |

Table 5.3: Ten Largest Airports for Passengers and Freight - 2012

|  | Passenger (Million Enplanements) |  | Freight (Million Tons Aircraft Weight) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Atlanta | 45.8 | Memphis | 10.3 (FedEx) |
| 2 | Chicago O'Hare | 32.2 | Anchorage | 8.3 |
| 3 | Los Angeles | 31.3 | Louisville | 5.5 (UPS) |
| 4 | Dallas-Fort Worth | 28.0 | Miami | 3.6 |
| 5 | Denver | 25.8 | Indianapolis | 2.5 (FedEx) |
| 6 | New York JFK | 24.5 | Chicago O’Hare | 2.3 |
| 7 | San Francisco | 21.3 | Los Angeles | 2.1 |
| 8 | Charlotte | 20.0 | New York JFK | 1.8 |
| 9 | Las Vegas | 19.9 | Cincinnati | 1.6 (DHL) |
| 10 | Phoenix | 19.6 | Dallas-Fort Worth | 1.5 |

Source: Bureau of Transportation Statistics, US Department of Transportation, Washington D.C.

## Part 6 - Highway Freight

Table 6.1: Firm Type and Commodities Hauled (\%) By Ton-Miles - 2007

|  | For-Hire <br> Trucking $^{1}$ | Private <br> Trucking | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufactured Goods \& Equipment | 25.4 | 6.4 | 31.8 |
| Food \& Farm Products | 19.5 | 4.5 | 24.0 |
| Chemicals | 11.5 | 2.0 | 13.5 |
| Coal, Minerals \& Ores, Scrap, Waste | 9.1 | 4.0 | 13.1 |
| Forest Products | 9.9 | 1.8 | 11.8 |
| Oil \& Oil Products | 3.3 | 2.5 | 5.9 |

${ }^{1}$ For-hire trucking are commercial trucking firms offering trucking service to whoever wants to purchase the service. Private trucking are vehicles owned by agricultural, mining, manufacturing, and retail companies to move their own products
Source: Commodity Flow Survey 2007, US Census Bureau / Bureau of Transportation Statistics, US Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.

Table 6.2: Largest For-Hire Trucking Firms - 2012

|  | Revenue (\$ Million) | Operating Profit Margin (\%) | Market Segment ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Package Companies |  |  |  |
| United Parcel Service | 54,127 | + 3 | Package |
| FedEx Express | 27,171 | + 2 | Package |
| FedEx Ground | 10,578 | +20 | Package |
| Freight Companies |  |  |  |
| Con-Way Transportation | 5,580 | + 4 | LTL General Freight |
| FedEx Freight | 5,401 | + 4 | LTL General Freight |
| J.B. Hunt Transport | 5,055 | +12 | TL General Freight |
| Yellow Roadway Corp | 4,850 | - 3 | LTL General Freight |
| Schneider National Carriers | 3,500 | NA ${ }^{1}$ | TL General Freight |
| Swift Transportation | 3,494 | +10 | TL General Freight |
| TransForce | 3,139 | + 8 | LTL General Freight |
| CEVA Logistics | 2,880 | +10 | TL General Freight |
| Landstar System | 2,793 | + 8 | TL General Freight |
| Ryder Supply Chain Solutions | 2,281 | + 5 | TL General Freight |
| Old Dominion Freight Line | 2,110 | + 9 | LTL General Freight |
| ABF Freight System | 2,066 | -1 | LTL General Freight |
| Werner Enterprises | 2,036 | + 5 | TL General Freight |
| SIRVA (Allied, North American Van Lines) | 1,973 | $\mathrm{NA}^{1}$ | Household Goods |
| Estes Express Lines | 1,865 | NA ${ }^{1}$ | LTL General Freight |
| USXpress Enterprises | 1,756 | NA ${ }^{1}$ | TL General Freight |
| UniGroup (United Van Lines) | 1,613 | NA ${ }^{1}$ | Household Goods |
| ${ }^{1}$ Privately held company ${ }^{2}$ TL=Truckload, LTL=Less-than-truckload Source: Commercial Carrier Journal, August 2012, individual company Annual Reports |  |  |  |

## Part 7 - Automobiles

Table 7.1: Growth in Licensed Drivers and Auto Ownership

| Year | Percent of US Population <br> with Drivers License | Vehicles per Licensed <br> Driver |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 | 52 | 0.70 |
| 1977 | 60 | 0.94 |
| 1983 | 64 | 0.98 |
| 1990 | 68 | 1.01 |
| 1995 | 68 | 0.99 |
| 2001 | 68 | 1.06 |
| 2009 | 66 | 1.09 |

Source: National Household Travel Survey, Federal Highway Administration

Table 7.2: Household Automobile Availability - 2009

| Household Size | Percentage of Households with |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Auto | One Auto | Two <br> Autos | $3+$ Autos |
| 1 adult | 21 | 63 | 12 | 4 |
| 2 adults | 4 | 17 | 53 | 36 |
| $3+$ adults | 4 | 11 | 22 | 63 |

Source: National Household Travel Survey, Federal Highway Administration

Table 7.3: Distribution of Vehicles Miles of Travel by Trip Purpose (\%) - 2009

| To and from Work \& Work Related |  | 37.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social and Recreational |  | 30.0 |
| Other Social \& Recreational | 22.7 |  |
| Dining Out | 7.4 |  |
| Family and Personal Business |  | 28.6 |
| Shopping/Errands | 20.3 |  |
| Other Family \& Personal Business | 5.5 |  |
| Doctor/Dentist | 2.8 |  |
| School \& Church |  | 4.4 |

Source: National Household Travel Survey, Federal Highway Administration


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Both inter-city and urban trips. One passenger-mile is a person traveling for one mile, so one person traveling 100 miles is 100 passenger-miles
    Source: Transport Statistics Great Britain, UK Department of Transport, London

