Problem #1:

\[ C = 160 + 0.6Y_D \]
\[ I = 150 \]
\[ G = 150 \]
\[ T = 100 \]

(a) In equilibrium, \( Y = Z(Y) \). So therefore

\[ Y = c_0 + c_1(Y - T) + G + I \]
\[ Y = 160 + 0.6(Y - 100) + 150 + 150 \]
\[ 0.4Y = 160 + 150 + 150 - 60 = 400 \]
\[ Y = 1000 \]

(b) \( Y_D = Y - T = 1000 - 100 = 900 \)
(c) \( C = 160 + 0.6Y_D = 160 + 0.6(900) = 700 \)

Problem #2:

(a) The equilibrium output we solved for before was \( Y = 100 \).

The total demand is \( C + G + I = 700 + 150 + 150 = 1000 \).

(b) Follow the same procedure that was used to solve Problem #1, part (a).

\[ Y = c_0 + c_1(Y - T) + G + I \]
\[ Y = 160 + 0.6(Y - 100) + 110 + 150 \]
\[ 0.4Y = 160 + 110 + 150 - 60 = 360 \]
\[ Y = 900 \]

Note also that this could be solved using the multiplier. The multiplier is \( \frac{1}{1 - MPC} = \frac{1}{1 - 0.6} = 2.5 \). Therefore, multiply the change in government spending (as that is going to affect demand directly) by the multiplier to get \( 2.5(-40) = -100 \). Therefore, \( Y = 900 \).

\[ C = 160 + 0.6Y_D = 160 + 0.6(900 - 100) = 640 \]
\[ I = 150 \]
\[ G = 110 \]

Therefore, the total demand is \( Z = 900 \). Since we are in equilibrium and therefore \( Y = Z(Y) \), we should expect this to be true.
(c) Private Savings are \( Y - C - T = 900 - 640 - 100 = 160 \).
Public Savings are \( T - G = -10 \)

Total Savings are private plus public savings, which is equal to 150, also equal to investment. In equilibrium, the demand is equal to the production, therefore it stands to reason that investment will be adequately financed through savings. If it weren’t, then we would not be in equilibrium.

Problem #3:
(a) An increase of 1 in \( G \) has a direct impact of 1 unit on demand, therefore we take the change in demand and multiply it by the multiplier: \( \frac{1}{1-MPC} = \frac{1}{1-c_1} \).
(b) A decrease of 1 in \( T \) has a direct impact of \( c_1 \) unit on demand because it affects disposable income, only a fraction \( c_1 \) of which will get used for consumption. Therefore, the effect is \( c_1 \frac{1}{1-c_1} \).
(c) The decrease in \( T \) has an indirect effect on demand because only a part of the tax reduction goes toward consumption. As \( c_1 < 1 \), the effect of a unit decrease in \( T \) is going to be smaller than the effect of a unit increase in \( G \).
(d) Simply subtract the two effects: \( \frac{1}{1-c_1} - c_1 \frac{1}{1-c_1} = 1 \). Therefore, any 1 unit increase in \( G \) with a matching increase in \( T \) will have a 1 unit increase in output.
(e) As the \( c_1 \) terms disappear from the multiplier in part (d), changing the MPC does not affect the multiplier in a balanced budget world.