#### **Bayesian Inference for DSGE Models**

Lawrence J. Christiano

SAIF, December, 2014

### Outline

- State space-observer form.
  - convenient for model estimation and many other things.
- Bayesian inference
  - Bayes' rule.
  - Monte Carlo integation.
  - MCMC algorithm.
  - Laplace approximation

- Compact summary of the model, and of the mapping between the model and data used in the analysis.
- Typically, data are available in log form. So, the following is useful:
  - If x is steady state of  $x_t$ :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{x}_t & \equiv & \frac{x_t - x}{x}, \\ & \Longrightarrow & \frac{x_t}{x} = 1 + \hat{x}_t \\ & \Longrightarrow & \log\left(\frac{x_t}{x}\right) = \log\left(1 + \hat{x}_t\right) \approx \hat{x}_t \end{array}$$

• Suppose we have a model solution in hand:

$$\begin{aligned} z_t &= A z_{t-1} + B s_t \\ s_t &= P s_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \ E \epsilon_t \epsilon'_t = D. \end{aligned}$$

• Suppose we are working with the NK model, and

$$z_t = \left(egin{array}{c} \pi_t \ x_t \ r_t \ r_t^st \end{array}
ight)$$
,  $s_t = \left(egin{array}{c} \Delta a_t \ au_t \end{array}
ight)$ .

- Suppose we have data on inflation,  $\pi_t$ , and output growth,  $\Delta \log y_t$ .
  - Note: we do not have data on all the variables in  $z_t$  and one variable,  $\Delta \log y_t$ , is not included in  $z_t$ , but

$$x_{t} = \log (X_{t}/X) = \log \left(\frac{y_{t}}{y_{t}^{\text{best}}}\right)$$
  
$$\Delta x_{t} = \Delta \log y_{t} - \Delta \log y_{t}^{\text{best}} = \Delta \log y_{t} - \Delta \left(\frac{z_{t}}{\Delta \left(a_{t} - \frac{\tau_{t}}{1 + \varphi}\right)}\right)$$

L

• Mapping from  $z_t, s_t$  to  $\Delta y_t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \log y_t &= \Delta x_t + \Delta a_t - \frac{\tau_t - \tau_{t-1}}{1 + \varphi} \\ &= (0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0) z_t + (0 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0) z_{t-1} \\ &+ (1 \ -\frac{1}{1 + \varphi}) s_t + (0 \ \frac{1}{1 + \varphi}) s_{t-1} \end{aligned}$$

• Mapping from objects in model to data:

$$Y_{t}^{data} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \log y_{t} \\ \pi_{t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} z_{t} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} z_{t-1} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{1+\varphi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} s_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{1+\varphi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} s_{t-1}$$

Model prediction for data:

$$\begin{split} Y_t^{data} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Delta \log y_t \\ \pi_t \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right) + \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] z_t \\ &+ \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] z_{t-1} \\ &+ \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -\frac{1}{1+\varphi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] s_t + \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \frac{1}{1+\varphi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] s_{t-1} \\ &= H\xi_t, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_t &= \begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ z_{t-1} \\ s_t \\ s_{t-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\ H &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{1+\varphi} & 0 & \frac{1}{1+\varphi} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

• The Observer Equation may include measurement error,  $w_t$ :

$$\Upsilon^{data}_t = H\xi_t + w_t$$
,  $Ew_tw'_t = R$ .

 Semantics: ξ<sub>t</sub> is the state of the system (not to be confused with the state in recursive macroeconomics!).

Law of motion of the state,  $\xi_t$  (state-space equation):

$$\xi_t = F\xi_{t-1} + u_t$$
,  $Eu_tu'_t = Q$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ z_{t-1} \\ s_t \\ s_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & BP & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_{t-1} \\ z_{t-2} \\ s_{t-1} \\ s_{t-2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B \\ 0 \\ I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \epsilon_{t+1},$$

$$u_t = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ 0 \\ I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \epsilon_t, \ Q = \begin{bmatrix} BDB' & 0 & BD & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ DB' & 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ F = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & BP & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xi_t = F\xi_{t-1} + u_t, \ Eu_tu'_t = Q,$$

$$Y_t^{data} = H\xi_t + w_t, \ Ew_tw'_t = R.$$

• Can be constructed from model parameters

$$\theta = (\beta, \delta, ...)$$

so

$$F = F(\theta)$$
,  $Q = Q(\theta)$ ,  $H = H(\theta)$ ,  $R = R(\theta)$ .

### Uses of State Space/Observer Form

- Estimation of  $\theta$  and forecasting  $\xi_t$  and  $Y_t^{data}$
- Can take into account situations in which data represent a mixture of quarterly, monthly, daily observations.
- 'Data Rich' estimation. Could include several data measures (e.g., employment based on surveys of establishments and surveys of households) on a single model concept.
- Useful for solving the following forecasting problems:
  - Filtering (mainly of technical interest in computing likelihood function):

$$P\left[\xi_{t}|Y_{t-1}^{data}, Y_{t-2}^{data}, ..., Y_{1}^{data}\right], t = 1, 2, ..., T.$$

- Smoothing:

$$P\left[\xi_t|Y_T^{data},...,Y_1^{data}\right],\ t=1,2,...,T.$$

- Example: 'real rate of interest' and 'output gap' can be recovered from  $\xi_t$  using simple New Keynesian model.
- Useful for deriving a model's implications vector autoregressions

- Different data arrive at different frequencies: daily, monthly, quarterly, etc.
- This feature can be easily handled in state space-observer system.
- Example:
  - suppose inflation and hours are monthly,  $t = 0, 1/3, 2/3, 1, 4/3, 5/3, 2, \dots$
  - suppose gdp is quarterly, t = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...

$$Y_t^{data} = \begin{pmatrix} GDP_t \\ \text{monthly inflation}_t \\ \text{monthly inflation}_{t-1/3} \\ \text{monthly inflation}_{t-2/3} \\ \text{hours}_t \\ \text{hours}_{t-1/3} \\ \text{hours}_{t-2/3} \end{pmatrix}, \ t = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

that is, we can think of our data set as actually being quarterly, with quarterly observations on the first month's inflation, quarterly observations on the second month's inflation, etc.

• Problem: find state-space observer system in which observed data are:

$$Y_t^{data} = \begin{pmatrix} GDP_t \\ monthly inflation_t \\ monthly inflation_{t-1/3} \\ monthly inflation_{t-2/3} \\ hours_t \\ hours_{t-1/3} \\ hours_{t-2/3} \end{pmatrix}, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

• Solution: easy!

• Model timing: t = 0, 1/3, 2/3, ...

$$\begin{aligned} z_t &= A z_{t-1/3} + B s_t, \\ s_t &= P s_{t-1/3} + \epsilon_t, \ E \epsilon_t \epsilon_t' = D, \end{aligned}$$

• Monthly state-space observer system, t = 0, 1/3, 2/3, ...

$$\xi_t = F\xi_{t-1/3} + u_t, \ Eu_tu'_t = Q, \ u_t iid \ t = 0, 1/3, 2/3, ...$$

$$Y_t = H\xi_t, \ Y_t = \left( egin{array}{c} y_t \ \pi_t \ h_t \end{array} 
ight).$$

• Note:

first order vector autoregressive representation for quarterly state

$$\overline{\xi_t = F^3 \xi_{t-1} + u_t + F u_{t-1/3} + F^2 u_{t-2/3}} ,$$

$$u_t + Fu_{t-1/3} + F^2u_{t-2/3} \sim iid for t = 0, 1, 2, ...!!$$

Consider the following system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_t \\ \xi_{t-\frac{1}{3}} \\ \xi_{t-\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F^3 & 0 & 0 \\ F^2 & 0 & 0 \\ F & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{t-1} \\ \xi_{t-\frac{4}{3}} \\ \xi_{t-\frac{5}{3}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} I & F & F^2 \\ 0 & I & F \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ u_{t-\frac{1}{3}} \\ u_{t-\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

٠

Define

$$\tilde{\xi}_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_{t} \\ \tilde{\xi}_{t-\frac{1}{3}} \\ \tilde{\xi}_{t-\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{F} = \begin{bmatrix} F^{3} & 0 & 0 \\ F^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ F & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{u}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} I & F & F^{2} \\ 0 & I & F \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{t} \\ u_{t-\frac{1}{3}} \\ u_{t-\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

 $ilde{\xi}_t = ilde{F} ilde{\xi}_{t-1} + ilde{u}_t, \; ilde{u}_t$ `iid in quarterly data, t=0,1,2,...

$$E\tilde{u}_{t}\tilde{u}_{t}' = \tilde{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} I & F & F^{2} \\ 0 & I & F \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & F & F^{2} \\ 0 & I & F \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}'$$

• Conclude: state space-observer system for mixed monthly/quarterly data, for *t* = 0, 1, 2, ...

$$ilde{\xi}_t = ilde{F} ilde{\xi}_{t-1} + ilde{u}_t, \ ilde{u}_t$$
~iiid,  $E ilde{u}_t ilde{u}_t' = ilde{Q},$ 

$$Y_t^{data} = \tilde{H}\tilde{\xi}_t + w_t, \ w_t \tilde{iid}, \ Ew_t w_t' = R.$$

- Here,  $ilde{H}$  selects elements of  $ilde{\xi}_t$  needed to construct  $Y_t^{data}$ 
  - can easily handle distinction between whether quarterly data represent monthly averages (as in flow variables), or point-in-time observations on one month in the quarter (as in stock variables).
- Can use Kalman filter to forecast ('nowcast') current quarter data based on first month's (day's, week's) observations.

- Fernandez-Villaverde, Rubio-Ramirez, Sargent, Watson Result
- Vector Autoregression

$$Y_t = B_1 Y_{t-1} + B_2 Y_{t-2} + \dots + u_t,$$

where  $u_t$  is iid.

- 'Matching impulse response functions' strategy for building DSGE models fits VARs and assumes  $u_t$  are a rotation of economic shocks (for details, see later notes).
- Can use the state space, observer representation to assess this assumption from the perspective of a DSGE.

• System (ignoring constant terms and measurement error):

('State equation')  $\xi_t = F\xi_{t-1} + D\epsilon_t, D = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix}$ ,

('Observer equation')  $Y_t = H\xi_t$ .

• Substituting:

$$Y_t = HF\xi_{t-1} + HD\epsilon_t$$

 $\bullet~$  Suppose  $H\!D$  is square and invertible. Then

$$\epsilon_t = (HD)^{-1} Y_t - (HD)^{-1} HF\xi_{t-1} (**)$$

Substitute latter into the state equation:

$$\xi_t = F\xi_{t-1} + D(HD)^{-1}Y_t - D(HD)^{-1}HF\xi_{t-1}$$

$$= \left[I - D (HD)^{-1} H\right] F \xi_{t-1} + D (HD)^{-1} Y_t.$$

We have:

$$\xi_t = M\xi_{t-1} + D(HD)^{-1}Y_t, \ M = \left[I - D(HD)^{-1}H\right]F.$$

If eigenvalues of M are less than unity,

 $\xi_t = D (HD)^{-1} Y_t + MD (HD)^{-1} Y_{t-1} + M^2 D (HD)^{-1} Y_{t-2} + \dots$  Substituting into (\*\*)

$$\epsilon_{t} = (HD)^{-1} Y_{t} - (HD)^{-1} HF$$

$$\times \left[ D (HD)^{-1} Y_{t-1} + MD (HD)^{-1} Y_{t-2} + M^{2}D (HD)^{-1} Y_{t-3} + ... \right]$$
or.

$$Y_t = B_1 Y_{t-1} + B_2 Y_{t-2} + \dots + u_t,$$

where

$$u_t = HD\epsilon_t, \ B_j = HFM^{j-1}D(HD)^{-1}, \ j = 1, 2, ...$$

• The latter is the VAR representation.

• The VAR repersentation is:

$$Y_t = B_1 Y_{t-1} + B_2 Y_{t-2} + \dots + u_t,$$

where

$$u_t = HD\epsilon_t, \ B_j = HFM^{j-1}D(HD)^{-1}, \ j = 1, 2, ...$$

- Notes:
  - $\epsilon_t$  is 'invertible' because it lies in space of current and past  $Y_t$ 's.
  - VAR is *infinite*-ordered.
  - assumed system is 'square' (same number of elements in  $\epsilon_t$ and  $Y_t$ ). Sims-Zha (Macroeconomic Dynamics) show how to recover  $\epsilon_t$  from current and past  $Y_t$  when the dimension of  $\epsilon_t$ is greater than the dimension of  $Y_t$ .

- Two random variables,  $x \in (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y \in (y_1, y_2)$ .
- Joint distribution: p(x, y)

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} x_1 & x_2 & & x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & p_{11} & p_{12} & & y_1 & 0.05 & 0.40 \\ y_2 & p_{21} & p_{22} & & y_2 & 0.35 & 0.20 \end{array}$$

where

$$p_{ij} = probability (x = x_i, y = y_j).$$

• Restriction:

$$\int_{x,y} p(x,y) \, dx \, dy = 1.$$

• Joint distribution: p(x, y)

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} x_1 & x_2 & & x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & p_{11} & p_{12} & & y_1 & 0.05 & 0.40 \\ y_2 & p_{21} & p_{22} & & y_2 & 0.35 & 0.20 \end{array}$$

٠

• Marginal distribution of x : p(x)

Probabilities of various values of x without reference to the value of y:

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} p_{11} + p_{21} = 0.40 & x = x_1 \\ p_{12} + p_{22} = 0.60 & x = x_2 \end{cases}$$

or,

$$p(x) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} p(x, y) \, dy$$

• Joint distribution: p(x, y)

• Conditional distribution of x given y : p(x|y)

- Probability of x given that the value of y is known

$$p(x|y_1) = \begin{cases} p(x_1|y_1) & \frac{p_{11}}{p_{11}+p_{12}} = \frac{p_{11}}{p(y_1)} = \frac{0.05}{0.45} = 0.11\\ p(x_2|y_1) & \frac{p_{12}}{p_{11}+p_{12}} = \frac{p_{12}}{p(y_1)} = \frac{0.40}{0.45} = 0.89 \end{cases}$$

or,

$$p(x|y) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(y)}.$$

• Joint distribution: p(x, y)



- Mode
  - Mode of joint distribution (in the example):

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{x,y} p\left(x,y\right) = \left(x_2,y_1\right)$$

- Mode of the marginal distribution:

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{x}p\left(x
ight)=x_{2}$$
,  $\operatorname{argmax}_{y}p\left(y
ight)=y_{2}$ 

 Note: mode of the marginal and of joint distribution conceptually different.

#### **Maximum Likelihood Estimation**

• State space-observer system:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{t+1} &= F\xi_t + u_{t+1}, \ Eu_t u'_t = Q, \\ Y_t^{data} &= a_0 + H\xi_t + w_t, \ Ew_t w'_t = R \end{aligned}$$

- Reduced form parameters,  $(F, Q, a_0, H, R)$ , functions of  $\theta$ .
- Choose  $\theta$  to maximize likelihood,  $p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right)$  :

$$p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right) = p\left(Y_1^{data}, ..., Y_T^{data}|\theta\right)$$
$$= p\left(Y_1^{data}|\theta\right) \times p\left(Y_2^{data}|Y_1^{data}, \theta\right)$$

computed using Kalman Filter

$$\times \cdots \times p\left(Y_t^{data} | Y_{t-1}^{data} \cdots Y_1^{data}, \theta\right)$$
$$\times \cdots \times p\left(Y_T^{data} | Y_{T-1}^{data}, \cdots, Y_1^{data}, \theta\right)$$

• Kalman filter straightforward (see, e.g., Hamilton's textbook).

#### **Bayesian Inference**

- Bayesian inference is about describing the mapping from prior beliefs about  $\theta$ , summarized in  $p(\theta)$ , to new posterior beliefs in the light of observing the data,  $Y^{data}$ .
- General property of probabilities:

$$p\left(Y^{data}, heta
ight) = \left\{ egin{array}{c} p\left(Y^{data}| heta
ight) imes p\left( heta
ight) \ p\left( heta|Y^{data}
ight) imes p\left(Y^{data}
ight) \ \end{array} 
ight. ,$$

which implies Bayes' rule:

$$p\left( heta|Y^{data}
ight) = rac{p\left(Y^{data}| heta
ight)p\left( heta
ight)}{p\left(Y^{data}
ight)},$$

mapping from prior to posterior induced by  $Y^{data}$ .

#### **Bayesian Inference**

- Report features of the posterior distribution,  $p\left(\theta|Y^{data}\right)$ .
  - The value of  $\theta$  that maximizes  $p(\theta|Y^{data})$ , 'mode' of posterior distribution.
  - Compare marginal prior,  $p(\theta_i)$ , with marginal posterior of individual elements of  $\theta$ ,  $g(\theta_i|Y^{data})$ :

$$g\left( heta_i|Y^{data}
ight)=\int_{ heta_{j
eq i}}p\left( heta|Y^{data}
ight)d heta_{j
eq i}$$
 (multiple integration!!)

- Probability intervals about the mode of  $\theta$  ('Bayesian confidence intervals'), need  $g\left(\theta_{i}|Y^{data}\right)$ .
- Marginal likelihood for assessing model 'fit':

$$p\left(Y^{data}
ight) = \int_{ heta} p\left(Y^{data}| heta
ight) p\left( heta
ight) d heta ext{ (multiple integration)}$$

### Monte Carlo Integration: Simple Example

- Much of Bayesian inference is about multiple integration.
- Numerical methods for multiple integration:
  - Quadrature integration (example: approximating the integral as the sum of the areas of triangles beneath the integrand).
  - Monte Carlo Integration: uses random number generator.
- Example of Monte Carlo Integration:

- suppose you want to evaluate

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx, \ -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty.$$

– select a density function, g(x) for  $x \in [a, b]$  and note:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} g(x) dx = E \frac{f(x)}{g(x)},$$

where *E* is the expectation operator, given g(x).

### Monte Carlo Integration: Simple Example

- Previous result: can express an integral as an expectation relative to a (arbitrary, subject to obvious regularity conditions) density function.
- Use the law of large numbers (LLN) to approximate the expectation.
  - step 1: draw  $x_i$  independently from density, g, for i = 1, ..., M.
  - step 2: evaluate  $f(x_i) / g(x_i)$  and compute:

$$\mu_{M} \equiv \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{f(x_{i})}{g(x_{i})} \rightarrow_{M \to \infty} E \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}.$$

- Exercise.
  - Consider an integral where you have an analytic solution available, e.g.,  $\int_0^1 x^2 dx$ .
  - Evaluate the accuracy of the Monte Carlo method using various distributions on [0, 1] like uniform or Beta.

### Monte Carlo Integration: Simple Example

- Standard classical sampling theory applies.
- Independence of  $f(x_i) / g(x_i)$  over *i* implies:

$$var\left(\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)}\right) = \frac{v_M}{M},$$
$$v_M \equiv var\left(\frac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)}\right) \simeq \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\left[\frac{f(x_i)}{g(x_i)} - \mu_M\right]^2$$

- Central Limit Theorem
  - Estimate of  $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$  is a realization from a Nomal distribution with mean estimated by  $\mu_{M}$  and variance,  $v_{M}/M$ .
  - With 95% probability,

$$\mu_{M} - 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{v_{M}}{M}} \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \leq \mu_{M} + 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{v_{M}}{M}}$$

- Pick g to minimize variance in  $f(x_i) / g(x_i)$  and M to minimize (subject to computing cost)  $v_M/M$ .

# Markov Chain, Monte Carlo (MCMC) Algorithms

- Among the top 10 algorithms "with the greatest influence on the development and practice of science and engineering in the 20th century".
  - Reference: January/February 2000 issue of Computing in Science & Engineering, a joint publication of the American Institute of Physics and the IEEE Computer Society.'

• Developed in 1946 by John von Neumann, Stan Ulam, and Nick Metropolis (see http://www.siam.org/pdf/news/637.pdf)

### **MCMC Algorithm: Overview**

• compute a sequence,  $\theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}, ..., \theta^{(M)}$ , of values of the  $N \times 1$  vector of model parameters in such a way that

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} Frequency \left[ \theta^{(i)} \text{ close to } \theta \right] = p\left( \theta | Y^{data} \right).$$

- Use  $\theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}, ..., \theta^{(M)}$  to obtain an approximation for

- 
$$E\theta$$
,  $Var(\theta)$  under posterior distribution,  $p(\theta|Y^{data})$   
-  $g(\theta^{i}|Y^{data}) = \int_{\theta_{i\neq j}} p(\theta|Y^{data}) d\theta d\theta$   
-  $p(Y^{data}) = \int_{\theta} p(Y^{data}|\theta) p(\theta) d\theta$ 

- posterior distribution of any function of  $\theta$ ,  $f(\theta)$  (e.g., impulse responses functions, second moments).
- MCMC also useful for computing posterior mode,  $\arg \max_{\theta} p\left(\theta | Y^{data}\right)$ .

### MCMC Algorithm: setting up

• Let  $G(\theta)$  denote the log of the posterior distribution (excluding an additive constant):

$$G\left( heta
ight) = \log p\left(Y^{data}| heta
ight) + \log p\left( heta
ight);$$

• Compute posterior mode:

$$\theta^{*} = \arg \max_{\theta} G\left(\theta\right).$$

• Compute the positive definite matrix, V:

$$V \equiv \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 G\left(\theta\right)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right]_{\theta=\theta^*}^{-1}$$

• Later, we will see that V is a rough estimate of the variance-covariance matrix of  $\theta$  under the posterior distribution.

### MCMC Algorithm: Metropolis-Hastings

- $\theta^{(1)} = \theta^*$
- to compute  $\theta^{(r)}$ , for r > 1
  - step 1: select candidate  $\theta^{(r)}$ , x,

draw 
$$\underbrace{x}_{N \times 1}$$
 from  $\theta^{(r-1)} + \underbrace{k \times N\left(\bigcup_{N \times 1}^{0} V\right)}_{k \times 1}$ , k is a scalar

– step 2: compute scalar,  $\lambda$  :

$$\lambda = \frac{p\left(Y^{data}|x\right)p\left(x\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta^{\left(r-1\right)}\right)p\left(\theta^{\left(r-1\right)}\right)}$$

– step 3: compute  $\theta^{(r)}$  :

 $\theta^{(r)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \theta^{(r-1)} & \text{if } u > \lambda \\ x & \text{if } u < \lambda \end{array} \right. \text{, } u \text{ is a realization from uniform } [0,1]$ 

#### **Practical issues**

- What is a sensible value for k?
  - set k so that you accept (i.e.,  $\theta^{(r)} = x$ ) in step 3 of MCMC algorithm are roughly 23 percent of time
- What value of *M* should you set?
  - want 'convergence', in the sense that if  ${\cal M}$  is increased further, the econometric results do not change substantially
  - in practice, M = 10,000 (a small value) up to M = 1,000,000.
  - large M is time-consuming.
    - could use Laplace approximation (after checking its accuracy) in initial phases of research project.
    - more on Laplace below.
- Burn-in: in practice, some initial  $\theta^{(i)}$ 's are discarded to minimize the impact of initial conditions on the results.
- Multiple chains: may promote efficiency.
  - increase independence among  $\theta^{(i)}$ 's.
  - can do MCMC utilizing parallel computing (Dynare can do this).

## MCMC Algorithm: Why Does it Work?

- Proposition that MCMC works may be surprising.
  - Whether or not it works does *not* depend on the details, i.e., precisely how you choose the jump distribution (of course, you had better use k > 0 and V positive definite).
    - Proof: see, e.g., Robert, C. P. (2001), *The Bayesian Choice*, Second Edition, New York: Springer-Verlag.
  - The details may matter by improving the efficiency of the MCMC algorithm, i.e., by influencing what value of M you need.
- Some Intuition
  - the sequence,  $\theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}, ..., \theta^{(M)}$ , is relatively heavily populated by  $\theta$ 's that have high probability and relatively lightly populated by low probability  $\theta$ 's.
  - Additional intuition can be obtained by positing a simple scalar distribution and using MATLAB to verify that MCMC approximates it well (see, e.g., question 2 in assignment 9).

### MCMC Algorithm: using the Results

- To approximate marginal posterior distribution,  $g\left(\theta_{i}|Y^{data}\right)$ , of  $\theta_{i}$ ,
  - compute and display the histogram of  $\theta_i^{(1)}, \theta_i^{(2)}, ..., \theta_i^{(M)}, i = 1, ..., M.$
- Other objects of interest:
  - mean and variance of posterior distribution  $\theta$  :

$$E\theta \simeq \bar{\theta} \equiv \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \theta^{(j)}, \ Var\left(\theta\right) \simeq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[\theta^{(j)} - \bar{\theta}\right] \left[\theta^{(j)} - \bar{\theta}\right]'.$$

### MCMC Algorithm: using the Results

- More complicated objects of interest:
  - impulse response functions,
  - model second moments,
  - forecasts,
  - Kalman smoothed estimates of real rate, natural rate, etc.
- All these things can be represented as non-linear functions of the model parameters, i.e.,  $f\left(\theta\right)$  .

– can approximate the distribution of  $f\left( \theta 
ight)$  using

$$\begin{split} f\left(\theta^{(1)}\right), ..., f\left(\theta^{(M)}\right) \\ \to & \textit{Ef}\left(\theta\right) \simeq \bar{f} \equiv \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} f\left(\theta^{(i)}\right), \\ \textit{Var}\left(f\left(\theta\right)\right) & \simeq & \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[f\left(\theta^{(i)}\right) - \bar{f}\right] \left[f\left(\theta^{(i)}\right) - \bar{f}\right]' \end{split}$$

### **MCMC:** Remaining Issues

- In addition to the first and second moments already discused, would also like to have the marginal likelihood of the data.
- Marginal likelihood is a Bayesian measure of model fit.

#### MCMC Algorithm: the Marginal Likelihood

• Consider the following sample average:

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\frac{h\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)}{p\left(\boldsymbol{Y}^{data}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)p\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)},$$

where  $h\left(\theta\right)$  is an arbitrary density function over the N- dimensional variable,  $\theta$ .

By the law of large numbers,

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\frac{h\left(\theta^{(j)}\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta^{(j)}\right)p\left(\theta^{(j)}\right)} \xrightarrow[M \to \infty]{} E\left(\frac{h\left(\theta\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right)p\left(\theta\right)}\right)$$

### MCMC Algorithm: the Marginal Likelihood

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{h\left(\theta^{(j)}\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta^{(j)}\right) p\left(\theta^{(j)}\right)} \to_{M \to \infty} E\left(\frac{h\left(\theta\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right) p\left(\theta\right)}\right)$$
$$= \int_{\theta} \left(\frac{h\left(\theta\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right) p\left(\theta\right)}\right) \frac{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right) p\left(\theta\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}\right)} d\theta = \frac{1}{p\left(Y^{data}\right)} d\theta$$

- When  $h(\theta) = p(\theta)$ , harmonic mean estimator of the marginal likelihood.
- Ideally, want an h such that the variance of

$$\frac{h\left(\theta^{(j)}\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta^{(j)}\right)p\left(\theta^{(j)}\right)}$$

is small (recall the earlier discussion of Monte Carlo integration). More on this below.

# Laplace Approximation to Posterior Distribution

• In practice, MCMC algorithm very time intensive.

• Laplace approximation is easy to compute and in many cases it provides a 'quick and dirty' approximation that is quite good.

Let  $\theta \in R^N$  denote the  $N-{\rm dimensional}$  vector of parameters and, as before,

$$\begin{split} G\left(\theta\right) &\equiv \log p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right) p\left(\theta\right) \\ p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right) ~~ ^{\text{likelihood of data}} \\ p\left(\theta\right) ~~ ^{\text{prior on parameters}} \\ \theta^{*} ~~ ^{\text{maximum of }} G\left(\theta\right) ~~ (\text{i.e., mode}) \end{split}$$

#### Laplace Approximation

Second order Taylor series expansion of  $G(\theta) \equiv \log \left[ p\left( Y^{data} | \theta \right) p\left( \theta \right) \right]$  about  $\theta = \theta^*$ :  $G(\theta) \approx G(\theta^*) + G_{\theta}(\theta^*) \left( \theta - \theta^* \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \theta - \theta^* \right)' G_{\theta\theta}(\theta^*) \left( \theta - \theta^* \right)$ ,

where

$$G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{*}\right) = -\frac{\partial^{2}\log p\left(\Upsilon^{data}|\theta\right)p\left(\theta\right)}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}|_{\theta=\theta^{*}}$$

Interior optimality of  $\theta^*$  implies:

$$G_{ heta}\left( heta^{*}
ight)=0$$
,  $G_{ heta heta}\left( heta^{*}
ight)$  positive definite

Then:

$$p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right)p\left(\theta\right)$$

$$\simeq p\left(Y^{data}|\theta^{*}\right)p\left(\theta^{*}\right)\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta-\theta^{*}\right)'G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{*}\right)\left(\theta-\theta^{*}\right)\right\}.$$

# Laplace Approximation to Posterior Distribution

Property of Normal distribution:

$$\int_{\theta} \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \left|G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta-\theta^{*}\right)' G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{*}\right)\left(\theta-\theta^{*}\right)\right\} d\theta = 1$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \int p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right) p\left(\theta\right) d\theta &\simeq \int p\left(Y^{data}|\theta^*\right) p\left(\theta^*\right) \\ &\times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta-\theta^*\right)' G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^*\right)\left(\theta-\theta^*\right)\right\}\right\} \\ &= \frac{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta^*\right) p\left(\theta^*\right)}{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \left|G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^*\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{split}$$

### Laplace Approximation

• Conclude:

$$p\left(Y^{data}\right) \simeq \frac{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta^*\right)p\left(\theta^*\right)}{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}}\left|G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^*\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

• Laplace approximation to posterior distribution:

$$\frac{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta\right)p\left(\theta\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}\right)} \simeq \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}} |G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{*}\right)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta-\theta^{*}\right)'G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^{*}\right)\left(\theta-\theta^{*}\right)\right\}$$

• So, posterior of  $\theta_i$  (i.e.,  $g(\theta_i|Y^{data}))$  is approximately

$$\theta_i \sim N\left(\theta_i^*, \left[G_{\theta\theta}\left(\theta^*\right)^{-1}\right]_{ii}\right)$$

# Modified Harmonic Mean Estimator of Marginal Likelihood

• Harmonic mean estimator of the marginal likelihood,  $p(\Upsilon^{data})$ :

$$\left[\frac{1}{M}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\frac{h\left(\theta^{(j)}\right)}{p\left(Y^{data}|\theta^{(j)}\right)p\left(\theta^{(j)}\right)}\right]^{-1},$$

with  $h\left( heta 
ight)$  set to  $p\left( heta 
ight)$  .

- In this case, the marginal likelihood is the harmonic mean of the likelihood, evaluated at the values of  $\theta$  generated by the MCMC algorithm.
- Problem: the variance of the object being averaged is likely to be high, requiring high M for accuracy.
- When h (θ) is instead equated to Laplace approximation of posterior distribution, then h (θ) is approximately proportional to p (Y<sup>data</sup>|θ<sup>(j)</sup>) p (θ<sup>(j)</sup>) so that the variance of the variable being averaged in the last expression is low.

# The Marginal Likelihood and Model Comparison

• Suppose we have two models, *Model* 1 and *Model* 2.

- compute  $p(Y^{data}|Model 1)$  and  $p(Y^{data}|Model 2)$ 

- Suppose  $p(Y^{data}|Model \ 1) > p(Y^{data}|Model \ 2)$ . Then, posterior odds on Model 1 higher than Model 2.
  - 'Model 1 fits better than Model 2'
- Can use this to compare across two different models, or to evaluate contribution to fit of various model features: habit persistence, adjustment costs, etc.
  - For an application of this and the other methods in these notes, see Smets and Wouters, AER 2007.