•••

Solving Dynamic General Equilibrium Models Using Log Linear Approximation

Log-linearization strategy

- Example #1: A Simple RBC Model.
 - Define a Model 'Solution'
 - Motivate the Need to Somehow Approximate Model Solutions
 - Describe Basic Idea Behind Log Linear Approximations
 - Some Strange Examples to be Prepared For
 - 'Blanchard-Kahn conditions not satisfied'
- Example #2: Bringing in uncertainty.
- Example #3: Stochastic RBC Model with Hours Worked (Matrix Generalization of Previous Results)

Example #1: Nonstochastic RBC Model

$$\text{Maximize}_{\{c_t, K_{t+1}\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma},$$

subject to:

$$C_t + K_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)K_t = K_t^{\alpha}, K_0$$
 given

First order condition:

$$C_t^{-\sigma} - \beta C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \left[\alpha K_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} + (1 - \delta) \right],$$

or, after substituting out resource constraint:

$$v(K_t, K_{t+1}, K_{t+2}) = 0, t = 0, 1,, \text{ with } K_0 \text{ given.}$$

Example #1: Nonstochastic RBC Model ...

• 'Solution': a function, $K_{t+1} = g(K_t)$, such that

$$v(K_t, g(K_t), g[g(K_t)]) = 0$$
, for all K_t .

• Problem:

This is an Infinite Number of Equations (one for each possible K_t) in an Infinite Number of Unknowns (a value for g for each possible K_t)

- With Only a Few Rare Exceptions this is Very Hard to Solve Exactly
 - Easy cases:

* If
$$\sigma = 1$$
, $\delta = 1 \Rightarrow g(K_t) = \alpha \beta K_t^{\alpha}$.

- * If v is linear in K_t , K_{t+1} , K_{t+1} .
- Standard Approach: Approximate v by a Log Linear Function.

Approximation Method Based on Linearization

- Three Steps
 - Compute the Steady State
 - Do a Log Linear Expansion About Steady State
 - Solve the Resulting Log Linearized System
- Step 1: Compute Steady State -
 - Steady State Value of K, K^* -

$$C^{-\sigma} - \beta C^{-\sigma} \left[\alpha K^{\alpha - 1} + (1 - \delta) \right] = 0,$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha K^{\alpha - 1} + (1 - \delta) = \frac{1}{\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow K^* = \left[\frac{\alpha}{\frac{1}{\beta} - (1 - \delta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}}.$$

 $-K^*$ satisfies:

$$v(K^*, K^*, K^*) = 0.$$

Approximation Method Based on Linearization ...

- Step 2:
 - Replace v by First Order Taylor Series Expansion About Steady State:

$$v_1(K_t - K^*) + v_2(K_{t+1} - K^*) + v_3(K_{t+2} - K^*) = 0,$$

- Here,

$$v_1 = \frac{dv_u(K_t, K_{t+1}, K_{t+2})}{dK_t}$$
, at $K_t = K_{t+1} = K_{t+2} = K^*$.

- Conventionally, do *Log-Linear Approximation*:

$$(v_1 K) \hat{K}_t + (v_2 K) \hat{K}_{t+1} + (v_3 K) \hat{K}_{t+2} = 0,$$
$$\hat{K}_t \equiv \frac{K_t - K^*}{K^*}.$$

– Write this as:

$$\alpha_2 \hat{K}_t + \alpha_1 \hat{K}_{t+1} + \alpha_0 \hat{K}_{t+2} = 0,$$

$$\alpha_2 = v_1 K, \ \alpha_1 = v_2 K, \ \alpha_0 = v_3 K$$

Approximation Method Based on Linearization ...

- Step 3: Solve
 - Posit the Following Policy Rule:

$$\hat{K}_{t+1} = A\hat{K}_t,$$

Where A is to be Determined.

- Compute A:

$$\alpha_2 \hat{K}_t + \alpha_1 A \hat{K}_t + \alpha_0 A^2 \hat{K}_t = 0,$$

or

$$\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 A + \alpha_0 A^2 = 0.$$

- A is the Eigenvalue of Polynomial
- In General: Two Eigenvalues.
 - Can Show: In RBC Example, One Eigenvalue is Explosive. The Other Not.
 - There Exist Theorems (see Stokey-Lucas, chap. 6) That Say You Should Ignore the Explosive A.

Some Strange Examples to be Prepared For

- Other Examples Are Possible:
 - Both Eigenvalues Explosive
 - Both Eigenvalues Non-Explosive

Model

Maximize
$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$
,

subject to

$$C_t + K_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)K_t = K_t^{\alpha} \varepsilon_t,$$

where ε_t is a stochastic process with $E\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon$, say. Let

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_t = \frac{\varepsilon_t - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon},$$

and suppose

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_t = \rho \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} + e_t, \ e_t N(0, \sigma_e^2).$$

• First Order Condition:

$$E_{t} \left\{ C_{t}^{-\sigma} - \beta C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \left[\alpha K_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} \varepsilon_{t+1} + 1 - \delta \right] \right\} = 0.$$

• First Order Condition:

$$E_t v(K_{t+2}, K_{t+1}, K_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}, \varepsilon_t) = 0,$$

where

$$v(K_{t+2}, K_{t+1}, K_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}, \varepsilon_t)$$

$$= (K_t^{\alpha} \varepsilon_t + (1 - \delta) K_t - K_{t+1})^{-\sigma} -\beta (K_{t+1}^{\alpha} \varepsilon_{t+1} + (1 - \delta) K_{t+1} - K_{t+2})^{-\sigma} \times [\alpha K_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} \varepsilon_{t+1} + 1 - \delta].$$

• Solution: a $g(K_t, \varepsilon_t)$, Such That

$$E_t v\left(g(g(K_t, \varepsilon_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}), g(K_t, \varepsilon_t), K_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}, \varepsilon_t\right) = 0,$$

For All K_t , ε_t .

- \bullet Hard to Find g, Except in Special Cases
 - One Special Case: v is Log Linear.

- Log Linearization Strategy:
 - Step 1: Compute Steady State of K_t when ε_t is Replaced by $E\varepsilon_t$
 - Step2: Replace v By its Taylor Series Expansion About Steady State.
 - Step 3: Solve Resulting Log Linearized System.
- Logic: If Actual Stochastic System Remains in a Neighborhood of Steady State, Log Linear Approximation Good

- Caveat: Strategy not accurate in all conceivable situations.
 - Example: suppose that where I live -

$$\varepsilon \equiv \text{temperature} = \begin{cases} 140 \text{ Fahrenheit, } 50 \text{ percent of time} \\ 0 \text{ degrees Fahrenheit the other half} \end{cases}$$

- On average, temperature quire nice: $E\varepsilon = 70$ (like parts of California)
- Let K = capital invested in heating and airconditioning
 - * EK very, very large!
 - * Economist who predicts investment based on replacing ε by $E\varepsilon$ would predict K=0 (as in many parts of California)
- In standard model this is not a big problem, because shocks are not so big....steady state value of K (i.e., the value that results eventually when ε is replaced by $E\varepsilon$) is approximately $E\varepsilon$ (i.e., the average value of K when ε is stochastic).

• Step 1: Steady State:

$$K^* = \left[\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{\frac{1}{\beta} - (1 - \delta)}\right]^{\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}}.$$

• Step 2: Log Linearize -

$$v(K_{t+2}, K_{t+1}, K_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}, \varepsilon_t)$$

$$\simeq v_1 (K_{t+2} - K^*) + v_2 (K_{t+1} - K^*) + v_3 (K_t - K^*) + v_3 (\varepsilon_{t+1} - \varepsilon) + v_4 (\varepsilon_t - \varepsilon)$$

$$= v_1 K^* \left(\frac{K_{t+2} - K^*}{K^*} \right) + v_2 K^* \left(\frac{K_{t+1} - K^*}{K^*} \right) + v_3 K^* \left(\frac{K_t - K^*}{K^*} \right)$$
$$+ v_3 \varepsilon \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{t+1} - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) + v_4 \varepsilon \left(\frac{\varepsilon_t - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

$$= \alpha_0 \hat{K}_{t+2} + \alpha_1 \hat{K}_{t+1} + \alpha_2 \hat{K}_t + \beta_0 \hat{\varepsilon}_{t+1} + \beta_1 \hat{\varepsilon}_t.$$

- Step 3: Solve Log Linearized System
 - Posit:

$$\hat{K}_{t+1} = A\hat{K}_t + B\hat{\varepsilon}_t.$$

- Pin Down A and B By Condition that log-linearized Euler Equation Must Be Satisfied.
 - * Note:

$$\hat{K}_{t+2} = A\hat{K}_{t+1} + B\hat{\varepsilon}_{t+1}
= A^2\hat{K}_t + AB\hat{\varepsilon}_t + B\rho\hat{\varepsilon}_t + Be_{t+1}.$$

* Substitute Posited Policy Rule into Log Linearized Euler Equation:

$$E_t \left[\alpha_0 \hat{K}_{t+2} + \alpha_1 \hat{K}_{t+1} + \alpha_2 \hat{K}_t + \beta_0 \hat{\varepsilon}_{t+1} + \beta_1 \hat{\varepsilon}_t \right] = 0,$$

so must have:

$$E_{t}\{\alpha_{0}\left[A^{2}\hat{K}_{t} + AB\hat{\varepsilon}_{t} + B\rho\hat{\varepsilon}_{t} + Be_{t+1}\right] + \alpha_{1}\left[A\hat{K}_{t} + B\hat{\varepsilon}_{t}\right] + \alpha_{2}\hat{K}_{t} + \beta_{0}\rho\hat{\varepsilon}_{t} + \beta_{0}e_{t+1} + \beta_{1}\hat{\varepsilon}_{t}\} = 0$$

* Then,

$$E_{t} \left[\alpha_{0} \hat{K}_{t+2} + \alpha_{1} \hat{K}_{t+1} + \alpha_{2} \hat{K}_{t} + \beta_{0} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t+1} + \beta_{1} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} \right]$$

$$= E_{t} \left\{ \alpha_{0} \left[A^{2} \hat{K}_{t} + A B \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} + B \rho \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} + B e_{t+1} \right] \right.$$

$$+ \alpha_{1} \left[A \hat{K}_{t} + B \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} \right] + \alpha_{2} \hat{K}_{t} + \beta_{0} \rho \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} + \beta_{0} e_{t+1} + \beta_{1} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} \right\}$$

$$= \alpha(A) \hat{K}_{t} + F \hat{\varepsilon}_{t}$$

$$= 0$$

where

$$\alpha(A) = \alpha_0 A^2 + \alpha_1 A + \alpha_2,$$

$$F = \alpha_0 A B + \alpha_0 B \rho + \alpha_1 B + \beta_0 \rho + \beta_1$$

* Find A and B that Satisfy:

$$\alpha(A) = 0, F = 0.$$

Maximize

$$E_t \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(C_t, N_t)$$

subject to

$$C_t + K_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)K_t = f(K_t, N_t, \varepsilon_t)$$

and

$$E\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon$$
,

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_t = \rho \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} + e_t, \ e_t N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_t = \frac{\varepsilon_t - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}.$$

• First Order Conditions:

$$E_t v_K(K_{t+2}, N_{t+1}, K_{t+1}, N_t, K_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}, \varepsilon_t) = 0$$

and

$$v_N(K_{t+1}, N_t, K_t, \varepsilon_t) = 0.$$

where

$$v_{K}(K_{t+2}, N_{t+1}, K_{t+1}, N_{t}, K_{t}, \varepsilon_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t})$$

$$= U_{c} (f(K_{t}, N_{t}, \varepsilon_{t}) + (1 - \delta)K_{t} - K_{t+1}, N_{t})$$

$$-\beta U_{c} (f(K_{t+1}, N_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t+1}) + (1 - \delta)K_{t+1} - K_{t+2}, N_{t+1})$$

$$\times [f_{K}(K_{t+1}, N_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta]$$

and,

$$v_{N}(K_{t+1}, N_{t}, K_{t}, \varepsilon_{t})$$

$$= U_{N} (f(K_{t}, N_{t}, \varepsilon_{t}) + (1 - \delta)K_{t} - K_{t+1}, N_{t})$$

$$+ U_{c} (f(K_{t}, N_{t}, \varepsilon_{t}) + (1 - \delta)K_{t} - K_{t+1}, N_{t})$$

$$\times f_{N}(K_{t}, N_{t}, \varepsilon_{t}).$$

• Steady state K^* and N^* such that Equilibrium Conditions Hold with $\varepsilon_t \equiv \varepsilon$.

• Log-Linearize the Equilibrium Conditions:

$$v_{K}(K_{t+2}, N_{t+1}, K_{t+1}, N_{t}, K_{t}, \varepsilon_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t})$$

$$= v_{K,1}K^{*}\hat{K}_{t+2} + v_{K,2}N^{*}\hat{N}_{t+1} + v_{K,3}K^{*}\hat{K}_{t+1} + v_{K,4}N^{*}\hat{N}_{t} + v_{K,5}K^{*}\hat{K}_{t}$$

$$+v_{K,6}\varepsilon\hat{\varepsilon}_{t+1} + v_{K,7}\varepsilon\hat{\varepsilon}_{t}$$

 $v_{K,j}$ Derivative of v_K with respect to j^{th} argument, evaluated in steady state.

$$v_N(K_{t+1}, N_t, K_t, \varepsilon_t)$$

$$= v_{N,1} K^* \hat{K}_{t+1} + v_{N,2} N^* \hat{N}_t + v_{N,3} K^* \hat{K}_t + v_{N,4} \varepsilon \hat{\varepsilon}_{t+1}$$

 $v_{N,j}$ Derivative of v_N with respect to j^{th} argument, evaluated in steady state.

- Representation Log-linearized Equilibrium Conditions
 - Let

$$z_t = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{K}_{t+1} \\ \hat{N}_t \end{pmatrix}, \ s_t = \hat{\varepsilon}_t, \ \epsilon_t = e_t.$$

– Then, the linearized Euler equation is:

$$E_t \left[\alpha_0 z_{t+1} + \alpha_1 z_t + \alpha_2 z_{t-1} + \beta_0 s_{t+1} + \beta_1 s_t \right] = 0,$$

$$s_t = P s_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \ \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2), \ P = \rho.$$

- Here, $\alpha_0 = \begin{bmatrix} v_{K,1}K^* & v_{K,2}N^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \alpha_1 = \begin{bmatrix} v_{K,3}K^* & v_{K,4}N^* \\ v_{N,1}K^* & v_{N,2}N^* \end{bmatrix},$ $\alpha_2 = \begin{bmatrix} v_{K,5}K^* & 0 \\ v_{N,3}K^* & 0 \end{bmatrix},$ $\beta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} v_{K,6}\varepsilon \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} v_{K,7}\varepsilon \\ v_{N,4}\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}.$

• Previous is a Canonical Representation That Essentially All Log Linearized Models Can be Fit Into (See Christiano (2002).)

• Again, Look for Solution

$$z_t = Az_{t-1} + Bs_t,$$

where A and B are pinned down by log-linearized Equilibrium Conditions.

• Now, A is *Matrix* Eigenvalue of *Matrix* Polynomial:

$$\alpha(A) = \alpha_0 A^2 + \alpha_1 A + \alpha_2 I = 0.$$

• Also, B Satisfies Same System of Log Linear Equations as Before:

$$F = (\beta_0 + \alpha_0 B)P + [\beta_1 + (\alpha_0 A + \alpha_1)B] = 0.$$

• Go for the 2 Free Elements of B Using 2 Equations Given by

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

• Finding the Matrix Eigenvalue of the Polynomial Equation,

$$\alpha(A) = 0,$$

and Determining if A is Unique is a Solved Problem.

• See Anderson, Gary S. and George Moore, 1985, 'A Linear Algebraic Procedure for Solving Linear Perfect Foresight Models,' *Economic Letters*, 17, 247-52 or Articles in Computational Economics, October, 2002. See also, the program, DYNARE.

- \bullet Solving for B
 - Given A, Solve for B Using Following (Log Linear) System of Equations:

$$F = (\beta_0 + \alpha_0 B)P + [\beta_1 + (\alpha_0 A + \alpha_1)B] = 0$$

- To See this, Use

$$vec(A_1A_2A_3) = (A_3' \otimes A_1) vec(A_2),$$

to Convert F = 0 Into

$$vec(F') = d + q\delta = 0, \ \delta = vec(B').$$

– Find B By First Solving:

$$\delta = -q^{-1}d.$$