

Christiano
Assignment #7

Answers to Tutorial on Model Solution Using Dynare 4

1. For the answers to this question, run `rbcans1.mod`. In the case of part f, when the shock is more persistent, the fraction of the jump in output devoted to consumption goes up because of a stronger wealth effect. Still, that fraction isn't as high as the permanent income hypothesis would predict, because the rate of return on capital jumps by a lot, as the answer to part g shows.
2. For the quantitative parts of this question, run `rbcans2.mod`. In the case of 2b, the speed of adjustment increases with σ because with higher σ it is more costly for households to cut consumption. As a result, it takes longer to do the investment necessary to get the capital stock back up to steady state. The speed of adjustment increases with the rise in α because in this case the production function is more linear, so that the rate of return on capital increases less with a drop in the stock of capital and the incentive to accumulate that capital is reduced. The speed of adjustment falls with the rise in δ because this increases the nonlinear portion of the return on capital, so that it rises more with a fall in the stock of capital, providing more incentive to respond to a drop by increasing investment and quickly return to the initial steady state.
3. For the answers to this, run `rbcans3.mod`. In the case of 3c, the reason for the differences in response have to do with the much greater income effect associated with the high ρ case.
4. Now, consider the Clarida-Gali-Gertler model. For my answer to this question, see `cggsignal.mod`. Regarding 4a, the lecture notes showed that the Taylor rule does not produce a big enough interest rate rise in the wake of a technology shock. Modifying that rule so that the interest rate rises more improves things. A rise in a_π helps because inflation rises after a technology shock. Regarding 4c, the reason for the switch in sign is that a shock to technology has very different implications in

the two cases. In the case that the growth rate of technology is $AR(1)$, a jump in technology signals even greater increases in the future. This creates a desire to borrow, and so the natural rate of interest must rise to discourage this effect. In the case that it is the level of log technology that is $AR(1)$, a jump in technology creates the expectation that technology will be lower in the future, creating a desire to save. The higher natural rate of interest counters this desire. Regarding 4d, a signal that technology will rise in the future raises the natural rate of interest in order to reduce the desire to borrow that is created by such a shock. Because the Taylor rule does not raise the rate of interest high enough, a boom occurs. Paradoxically, inflation falls at the same time because - although current marginal cost rises - future marginal cost is expected to fall. The latter effect explains why forward-looking price setters reduce prices.