

Christiano
 D11-2, Winter 1997
 Homework 2. Due: Wednesday, January 22.

1. Consider the following two-sector economy. Consumption goods are produced using the following technology:

$$c_t = k_t^\mu n_{1t}^{(1-\mu)}; \quad 0 < \mu < 1:$$

Capital goods depreciate completely in one period and are produced using labor only:

$$k_{t+1} = n_{2t}$$

The total amount of labor in this economy is 1, so the following condition must be satisfied:

$$n_{1t} + n_{2t} = 1:$$

Let preferences be given by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t);$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly concave, strictly increasing and twice continuously differentiable.

- (a) Consider the functional equation (FE) associated with the above sequence problem (SP):

$$v(k) = \max_{k^0 \in \mathcal{J}(k)} F(k; k^0) + \beta v(k^0); \quad \text{for all } k \in K \text{ s.t. } 0 < k < 1;$$

with

$$g(k) = \arg \max_{k^0 \in \mathcal{J}(k)} F(k; k^0) + \beta v(k^0); \quad \text{for all } k \in K:$$

Give an expression for F and \mathcal{J} .

- (b) It is known that $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the above expression (i) exists and is unique; (ii) is strictly increasing; (iii) is strictly concave; and (iv) is differentiable for 'interior k ', i.e., $k \in \text{int}(K)$ with $g(k) \in \text{int}(\mathcal{J}(k))$: Also, g is single valued and continuous. Explain which particular features of $(K; \mathcal{J}; F; \beta)$ guarantee each of these results. It is sufficient to just state whatever theorems you appeal to.

(c) Explain why $g(0) = 1$:

(d) Show that, for all interior k ; $g(k)$ satisfies

$$F_2(k; g(k)) + \beta v'(g(k)) = 0:$$

(e) Assume $u(c) = c^{\frac{3}{4}}$, where $0 < \frac{3}{4} < 1$: Show that $g(k)$ falls as k increases. In the simple growth model discussed in class (i.e., the one in which $c + k^\theta = k^\mu + (1 - \delta)k$); $g(k)$ increases as k increases. Give the mathematical reason for the difference in results. Explain the economic intuition underlying the difference.

2. This question asks you to redo Theorem 4.15 in a model that incorporates hours worked as a choice variable. Consider the following utility function:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t; n_t); \quad (1)$$

where $c_t \geq 0$ and $0 \leq n_t \leq 1$ denote date t consumption and employment, respectively. The resource constraint is:

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = f(k_t; n_t); \quad (2)$$

with $k_t \geq 0$. Here, u is strictly concave, differentiable, strictly increasing in c and strictly decreasing in n : Also, f is strictly increasing in each argument, is linearly homogeneous of degree zero, differentiable and concave. Suppose $c_t^a; k_t^a > 0$; $0 < n_t^a < 1$ satisfy (2) at each t and $k_0^a = k_0$; the given initial stock of capital. Also, these numbers satisfy the 'Euler equations':

$$\begin{aligned} u_c(c_t^a; n_t^a) &= \beta u_c(c_{t+1}^a; n_{t+1}^a) f_k(k_{t+1}^a; n_{t+1}^a); \\ u_c(c_t^a; n_t^a) f_n(k_t^a; n_t^a) + u_n(c_t^a; n_t^a) &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

for $t = 0; 1; 2; \dots$; and the 'transversality condition':

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} u_c(c_T^a; n_T^a) k_{T+1}^a = 0:$$

Here, u_c and u_n denote the derivatives of u with respect to its first and second argument, and similarly for f : Show that the given sequences $\{c_t^a; k_t^a; n_t^a; t \geq 0\}$ produce the highest value of (1) within the set of

sequences which satisfy (2) and the inequality constraints on consumption, labor and capital. (Hint: imitate the proof to Theorem 4.15 in the text.)

3. Consider the standard one-sector growth model with preferences $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t)$ and resource constraint $c_t + k_{t+1} = k_t^{0.36} + (1 - \delta)k_t$:
 - (a) Report the first and second order Taylor series expansions of the policy rule, g ; about the steady state value of k_t :
 - (b) Report the amount of periods it would take to close 95% of the gap between actual and steady-state capital if capital falls 20 and 80 percent below its steady state value, respectively. Do these two calculations for each of the two approximations to the policy function, g : Does the second-order term help, or is the linear approximation g adequate.