

Christiano
 FINC 352, Spring 2008
 Homework 3, due Wednesday, April 30.

1. Consider the following time series representation for x_t :

$$x_t = \rho_x x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad \rho_x = 0.9, \quad \sigma_\varepsilon = 0.01.$$

In class we have worked out that the variance of x_t is:

$$E(x_t)^2 = \frac{\sigma_x^2}{1 - \rho_x^2},$$

and the τ^{th} lag covariance is

$$C(\tau) = E x_t x_{t-\tau} = \rho_x^{|\tau|} E x_t^2, \quad \tau = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

According to the discussion in class, the spectral density of x_t is:

$$S_x(e^{-i\omega}) = \frac{\sigma_x^2}{(1 - \rho_x e^{-i\omega})(1 - \rho_x e^{i\omega})}.$$

Applying the inverse Fourier transform to this yields the covariances:

$$C(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S_x(e^{-i\omega}) e^{i\omega\tau} d\omega.$$

- (a) Consider two parameterizations of the x_t process. One has the parameter values given above, and the other has $\rho_x = 0.0$ and σ_ε set so that the variance of the two x_t representations is the same. On the same figure, graph the spectral densities associated with the two representations of x_t for $\omega \in (0, \pi)$. Also, generate 100 artificial time series observations from each representation. Note how the one with the higher value of ρ_x generates a pattern of x_t 's that wanders around more lazily than does the representation with the smaller value of ρ_x . That 'lazy' pattern in the high- ρ_x representation shows up as relatively more power in the lower frequencies of its spectrum.

- (b) Approximate the integral for $C(\tau)$ by the sum of the area of N rectangles, based on the Riemann sum interpretation of the integral. That is, if $\omega_j = 2\pi j/N$, with $j = -(N/2) + 1, \dots, N/2$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S_x(e^{-i\omega}) e^{i\omega\tau} d\omega \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=-(N/2)+1}^{N/2} S_x(e^{-i\omega_j}) e^{i\omega_j\tau} (\omega_j - \omega_{j-1}).$$

Is correct to work with the lower value of $-(N/2) + 1$ for j , or with the lower value of $-(N/2)$?

Evaluate $C(0)$, $C(2)$, $C(4)$ using the exact formulas above and the Riemann approximation for various values of N . What is the smallest values of N for which the Riemann approximation is good?

2. Generate 10,000 observations from the first order autoregressive time series representation above. Then, HP filter the data. Consider the so-called HP filter:

$$\min_{\{y_t^T\}} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \left\{ (y_t - y_t^T)^2 + \lambda \left[(y_{t+1}^T - y_t^T) - (y_t^T - y_{t-1}^T) \right]^2 \right\},$$

and the ‘HP-filtered’ data are

$$y_t^c \equiv y_t - y_t^T.$$

Note that as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, y_t^T converges to a linear time trend.

- (a) Calculate $C(0)$, $C(2)$, $C(4)$ using the artificial data, where $C(\tau)$ is the lag τ covariance of y_t^c . To compute y_t^c you will have to use the software provided with this homework.
- (b) We now repeat (a) using the frequency domain. For this, you will first need the Fourier transform of the HP-filter. To find this, compute the first order necessary condition for optimality satisfied by y_t^T . In lag operator form, this has the representation,

$$y_t = B(L) y_t^T,$$

where $B(L)$ is symmetric in positive and negative powers of L , i.e., $B(L) = B(L^{-1})$. Note that since $y_t^T = y_t - y_t^c$, this implies:

$$y_t = B(L) y_t - B(L) y_t^c,$$

or,

$$y_t^c = g(L) y_t,$$

where the *HP* filter, $g(L)$ is

$$g(L) = \frac{B(L) - 1}{B(L)}.$$

- i. To see what the *HP* filter does to a time series, graph $g(e^{-i\omega})$ for $\omega \in (0, \pi)$. Note that it looks like a high pass filter: it lets higher frequencies of oscillation in y_t through and zeros out the lower frequencies. What is the cutoff between frequencies allowed through and frequencies set to zero? Here, imagine you are working with quarterly data and $\lambda = 1600$.
 - ii. Use the spectral formulas together with the Riemann approximation to calculate $C(0)$, $C(2)$, $C(4)$. For N sufficiently larger, your answer should be the same as in (a).
3. Measurement error. Suppose a statistical agency receives data in this form:

$$y_t = x_t + u_t,$$

where u_t has variance σ_u^2 , x_t has variance σ_y^2 . Here, x_t denotes the true value of a variable and u_t denotes an uncorrelated measurement error, where both are Normally distributed.

- (a) Suppose the statistical agency reports \hat{y}_t ,

$$\hat{y}_t = E[x_t | y_t].$$

Write

$$\hat{y}_t = x_t + \hat{u}_t.$$

Compute the expression for $\hat{y}_t = E[x_t | y_t]$ and the correlation between \hat{u}_t and x_t .

- (b) In practice, researchers adopt the following conventional model of measurement error: the data are the sum of the truth and a measurement error that is uncorrelated with truth. Is this consistent with our model of the statistical agency?